

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boc. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	E	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,382		10/27/2003	Martin Kleban	CH-7972/LeA 36,348	5763
34947	7590	03/22/2005		EXAMINER	
LANXESS		-	EINSMANN, MARGARET V		
111 RIDC PARK WEST DRIVE PITTSBURGH, PA 15275-1112				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			1751	
				DATE MAILED: 03/22/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ω	U
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	10/694,382	KLEBAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Margaret Einsmann	1751
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 7-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4 and 6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/9/04. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/694,382

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3, 5,7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the process as defined in claim 4, does not reasonably provide enablement for the process using any other condensates within the scope of claim 1. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The examples and description in the specification are only directed to the use of the condensates as defined in claim 4. There is no evidence that applicant had in his possession at the time the invention was made a process of using any other condensates or the leather produced by said processes. There are a plethora of sulfonated aromatic condensates available to the skilled artisan. See, for example, the list in column 2 of Komforth et al., US 6,033,590. There is no evidence that applicant used any of the condensates listed there in the process of his invention except the one defined in claim 4 (without the optional addition of urea). In fact did applicant have in his possession any condensates which had any amount of the naphthalenesulphonic acid/formaldehyde condensates named in the

Application/Control Number: 10/694,382

Art Unit: 1751

proviso of claims 1 and 8? See examples 1 and 2 on page 12. Did applicant make any of the mixed products as claimed for use in the process claimed in claim 1 wherein the product comprises 0% of the phenol formaldehyde condensates in the proviso?

Applicant is asked to explain why the condensates were described by means of said proviso. Is there any process of retanning iron tanned leather known to applicant wherein the condensate of the proviso comprise 25% or more of the condensates used in the process?

Claims 1-3, 5,7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 and claim 4, applicant clearly defines only 25% or less by weight of the condensate. The claims are indefinite for failing to define the remainder of the composition of the condensate. The examiner suggests that the claims be directed to a process and product wherein the condensates which are used in the retanning are defined by chemical constitution, not by what is excluded.

No art rejections are applied because no art was found to anticipate or render obvious the process wherein iron-tanned leather is retanned with the condensation products as claimed.

The art of record applied shows the state of the art.

Art Unit: 1751

Seigler et al. US 4,740,211, disclose a process of chromium-free tanning wherein leather is tanned with both phenolic syntans and iron tanning agents. However, the phenolic syntan is used before the iron tanning. Patentee does not suggest tanning with iron and then retanning with phenolic syntan and states that the reason for the success of the process is the order of addition of the tanning agents. See col 5 esp lines 5-19 and lines 41-63 and col 6 lines 1-6. There are no examples of using iron tanning although it is an alternative suggested by patentee, or is the condensate of the claimed process disclosed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret Einsmann whose telephone number is 571-272-1314. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM -4:30 PM M-Th and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,382

Art Unit: 1751

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Friday, March 18, 2005

Margaret Einsmann
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1751